

## International Partnership and Collaboration in a Multilanguage Translation Effort Project Update

Schulman, Jacque-Lynne A. MLS  
Nelson, Stuart J. MD  
National Library of Medicine, Bethesda, Maryland USA  
schulmaj@mail.nih.gov

### Aim

International MEDLARS Centers, including those in Germany, Japan, Brazil, and France, as well as other national medical information centers have long produced translations of MeSH to make the vocabulary useful for non-English users. Various translations of Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) enable users not facile in English to identify articles that are of sufficient potential interest to warrant further effort to ascertain if the article addresses their concerns. Translations have generally been performed by individuals sufficiently well-versed in medical nomenclature in English and in the language to which they are translating.

The National Library of Medicine (NLM)'s MEDLINE/PubMed is the premier international bibliographic database covering the fields of medicine, nursing, dentistry, veterinary medicine, the health care system, and the preclinical sciences. MEDLINE/PubMed contains bibliographic citations and author abstracts from more than 5,000 biomedical journals published in 81 countries. The database contains over 15 million citations. English has become the majority language of articles and titles within PubMed, regardless of country of publication or authorship.

A major concern of translators has been, and continues to be, the necessity of staying current with the annual editions of MeSH. Each year, new descriptors are added to the MeSH vocabulary, existing descriptor class names are modified, and some descriptors are deleted. In addition, the entry or cross-reference terms are also subject to annual changes. The size of these descriptor changes is shown in the following table.

MeSH	Total descriptors	New descriptors	Changed descriptors	Deleted descriptors
2002	20,232	847	185	47
2003	21,079	1250	93	20
2004	22,329	666	109	20
2005	22,995	487	129	60
2006	23,885	933	188	43
2007	24,357	494	99	22
2008	24767	456	288	46
2009	25186	446	123	26

To enable the translators earlier and more complete access to the development of MeSH, the MTMS was developed. Before the MTMS, translators received the complete MeSH vocabulary in August. If they wished their translation included in the UMLS, to meet processing deadlines, they were asked to have all translations finished by November. In any event, the changes occurring in MEDLINE at year's end required a rapid completion of the translation; In some years, more than 1,000 changes in MeSH must be translated. The MTMS provides the translator teams with ongoing access to the new MeSH version as it is being developed. The administrators can then assign work as time and staff are available and space the work out in a more efficient manner.

MeSH translators have encountered difficulties with entry vocabulary as they maintain and update their translations to reflect changes in the annual version of MeSH. An entry term might move from one main heading to another main heading, or, more commonly, an entry term might become a new main heading. Translators are faced with difficulties in tracking these changes. Another problem arises for certain terms in other languages. There may be no exact English equivalent. In that case it may not be possible to identify the correct mapping to the MeSH descriptor or to concepts in other vocabulary databases, such as the UMLS Metathesaurus.

## **Methods**

NLM has developed and implemented a concept-centered vocabulary maintenance system for MeSH. These changes in the MeSH data structure support a multilingual Vocabulary. The underlying structure of MeSH changed effective with the 2000 version of the vocabulary. The new structure is centered on descriptors, concepts, and terms rather than only descriptors and terms. A descriptor is now defined as a class of concepts, and a concept as a class of synonymous terms.

A descriptor class consists of one or more concepts closely related to each other in meaning. For the purposes of indexing, retrieval, and organization of the literature, these concepts are best lumped together in one class. It has been recognized for some time that not every term that we might wish to explore is sufficiently distinct in meaning that it would serve well as a descriptor. For example, the NISO standard for Monolingual Thesauri talks of quasi-synonyms (terms that don't have the same meaning, such as "roughness" and "smoothness", but are a means of addressing the same underlying phenomenon). Entry terms like "Isometric Exercise" are narrower in meaning than the main heading "Exercise", but left in the exercise descriptor class because of the overlap in meaning with another entry term, "Aerobic Exercise." The recognition of the nature of a descriptor as a class of concepts helps us to understand what we are dealing with.

Each descriptor has a preferred concept. The term that names that concept will be the preferred term of the preferred concept, and itself names the descriptor. Each subordinate concept also has a preferred term, as well as a specified relationship (broader, narrower, related) to the preferred concept. This structure allows such relationships to be expressed in a way that can be manipulated computationally. Furthermore, it allows each concept to carry its own unique attributes that have not been previously represented. These include separate definitions, and translations into other languages.

While remaining invisible to the users of the system, it enables a better understanding of the role of MeSH and of the composition of the thesaurus, and provides a useful method of representing the relationships between concepts. The MTMS extends this to create an interlingual database of translations. Each translated term is identified as a name of an existing concept, or as the name of a new concept created within the descriptor class. This database allows continual updating of the translations, as well as facilitating tracking of the changes within MeSH from one year to another.

In the MTMS, translated terms are provided as synonyms to existing concepts. For non-synonymous entry terms that are not present in the English version, but useful in the language of the translation, the translator creates a new concept. The concept would, of course, belong to a descriptor class, that of the main heading for which it was an appropriate entry term. In this case of a concept class for which there was no English synonym, a definition of the concept in English is required, so that translators using other languages can have the ability to include their terms in that concept class. In the case of creating a new subordinate concept, the required submission of a definition (in English) of the new concept supports both the translation of that term into other non-English languages, and enables proper maintenance when that descriptor class is edited by the MeSH staff.

It is not possible to have perfect agreement between a precoordinated concept such as: “Iron deficiency anemia -- in infants and preschool children” versus the MeSH representation which involves use of separate concepts linked with Boolean operators: “Anemia, Iron-Deficiency AND Child, Preschool OR Infant” Of course, vocabulary does not exist in isolation but is an element used within a system and under the framework of the system’s software.

In order to avoid the difficulties of trying to maintain multiple disparate clients, the interface was designed to be Web-based, and it contains a variety of security measures to limit participation to authorized individuals.

Privileges for translators are limited to insertion of terms in their own language, and to creation of new subordinate concepts. While the translator has the ability to browse MeSH descriptors, the translation interface has been designed for direct editing of concepts and terms only. There is two different ways that the user can access the concepts and terms from the interface: (1) by searching the MeSH Tree Structures for descriptor names or (2) by searching for term names. For each method, there are two different language modes available: an English version, and a translated version that appears in each users own language.

The interface uses color, boldface, and italic fonts in the display to convey the current status of the various descriptors, concepts, and terms. In this way the user can quickly determine at a glance which MeSH terms are new, which still need to be translated, and which translated terms are waiting supervisor review and final approval.

For each language to be incorporated into the MeSH maintenance environment, there will be a team of translators and a supervisor. The language supervisor coordinates, reviews, and

authorizes (releases) the work of that group of translators for that language. A special module of the interface was designed for translators' supervisors, to enable them to review and authorize terms and concepts for each translator in their group. Once the supervisor authorizes the work, a member of the MeSH Section at the National Library of Medicine conducts a review of the proposed concepts that were created, to be sure they have been correctly placed in the correct descriptor class and are appropriately placed they, before they are approved to become an official part of MeSH.

The translation database requires the agreement and cooperation of the translators. As desired, previous translations can be loaded into the MTMS database from the UMLS Metathesaurus. Translations that have not been previously included in the UMLS Metathesaurus usually provide a term by term translation, which is then loaded into the MTMS. After the translations are loaded, translators would then be able to review areas in which the mapping from one term to another might be problematic, and to find the descriptors in MeSH for which there was no translated term. The display of translated terms in the concept structure allows finer shades of meaning to be fully represented.

## **Results**

The NLM provides the base vocabulary which is MeSH. The NLM also provides and maintains the client-server software, archives the translation data and provides subsets to each translating partner as specified by the translating institution. While there have been some experiments with building interfaces in languages other than English, none has been officially instituted.

When searching for information about a potentially relevant topic, it is often easier to use the language with which one has the most facility. Translations of MeSH are valuable to persons not facile in English. The creation of the MeSH Translation Maintenance System enables correct mappings from one language to another to be maintained and enables translators to stay current with MeSH as it continues to be enhanced. The Web-based interface, closely managed maintenance environment, and adherence to modern standards, all provide a robust platform for an interlingual database of translations.

## **Discussion**

The experience of using and managing the MTMS has been one of learning for the developers and for the translators. The mechanical barriers of distance and time have seemingly been overcome by the use of email and by a great spirit of cooperation amongst all parties. The differences of approach to vocabulary control remain. The MeSH vocabulary framework assumes use of Boolean logic in the application of concepts. Other bibliographic approaches may rely more on complex precoordinated concepts.

A listserv has been established for the partners within the MTMS environment and others will also be encouraged to be part of the online community. The shared experiences have value not only in relationship to the specialized application of translating the MeSH vocabulary but offer lessons for other cooperative efforts that cross boundaries of language, culture, and country.