## **Poster Evaluation Criteria**

Poster #:

Auth	or (Last Name): Committee n	nember:				
I.	<b>Technical:</b> Please score each item on a $1 - 5$ scale, with $1 =$ marginally adequate, and $5 =$ excellent					
	Legibility (adequate font size, quality of printing, etc.)	1	2	3	4	5
	Materials (materials create a polished presentation)	1	2	3	4	5
	Overall visual appeal (layout of text & figures)	1	2	3	4	5
		(1) Total technical score:				
II.	<b>Content:</b> Please score each item on a $1 - 5$ scale, with $1 =$ marginally adequate, and $5 =$ excellent]					
	Title (effectively highlights the poster's subject matter)	1	2	3	4	5
	Authors (names listed; institutional affiliations listed)	1	2	3	4	
					4	5
	Abstract (succinctly summarizes of project)	1	2	3	4	5 5
	Abstract (succinctly summarizes of project) Structuring: good and clear, well divided into sections in order to	1	2	3	-	
		1	2	3	-	
	Structuring: good and clear, well divided into sections in order to	1	2 2	3	-	

TOTAL SCORE (1 + 2):

**Committee Member's Choice:** A check on this box indicates this was the member's favorite poster presentation among all posters he/she evaluated. This selection need not correspond with the poster that received the highest total score.