## **Oral Presentation Evaluation Criteria**

Author (Last Name): Committee member:					
Presentations will be evaluated using the following criteria:					
I. Content – 10 points					
Accurately follows the title and abstract and stays on topic	1	2	3	4	5
Instructive and mind-provoking	1	2	3	4	5
		(I) Total content score:			
II. Organization – 20 points					
Secures audience attention	1	2	3	4	5
Objective and outline well formulated in the introduction	1	2	3	4	5
Well structured, flowing logically and with good transition between the sections	1	2	3	4	5
Offers summary of ideas and provides closure	1	2	3	4	5
	(II)	(II) Total organization score:			
III. Delivery – 25 points					
Consideration given to the understanding of English by the audience, i.e. clear pronounciation and speech rhythm	1	2	3	4	5
Effectively uses vocal variety in rate, pitch, and intensity to maintain audience interest in speech	1	2	3	4	5
Good visual quality (content displayed well visible, good choice of font, colours, pictures, well balanced screens)	1	2	3	4	5
Uses physical behavior that supports the message (including appropriate eye contact, facial expressions, gestures, posture, personal appearance)	1	2	3	4	5
Adheres to time requirements	1	2	3	4	5
		(III) Total delivery score:			
	TOTAL	SCORF (	T + TT + T	m: (	$\overline{}$
	IOIAL	COILE (		/-	

**Committee Member's Choice:** A check on this box indicates this was the member's favorite oral presentation among all oral presentations he/she evaluated. This selection need not correspond with the oral presentation that received the highest total score.